HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri H.L. Dattu, C.J.

W.P. No.2405 of 2001

Petitioners

1. Om Prakash Nayak, S/o Shri Jagat Ram Nayak, aged about 34 years, Ward No.13.
2. Pardeshi Bhoi, S/o Shri Bheem Saura, aged about 30 years, Ward No.30.
3. Dusasan Variha, S/o Shri Kunjal Variha, aged about 45 years, Ward No.5.
4. Ramesh Kumar, S/o Shri Hrishikesh Kolta, aged about 39 years, ward No.3.
5. Dahsrath, S/o shri shivo Kolta, Aged about 50 years, Ward No.1.
6. Santosh, S/o Shri Bheema Gaada, Aged about 50 years, Ward No.6.
7. /sankarshan Kolta, S/o Banshi Kolta, aged about 54 years, Ward No.9.
8. Kaushal Kolta, S/o shri Muktishwar Kolta, aged about 25 years, ward No.2.
9. Smt. Khirodini Kolta, W/o Nayan Kumar kolta, aged about 35 yrs, Ward No.4.
10. Smt. Basanti, W/o Shri Datoram Bariha, aged about 35 years, Ward No.14.
11. /smt. Dashmat, W/o Shri Ganga Ram Maraar, aged about 40 yrs, Ward No.8.
12. Smt Ratmani, W/o Shri Puneet Ram Teli, aged about 45 years, Ward No.12.

All are residents of Gram Panchayat – Sukhipali, vikas Khand – Pithora, Distt. – Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

Vs.

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Panchayat & Gramin Vikas Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Hon'ble Minister, State of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
3. /shri R.K. Bhagat, Additional Tahsildar & Presiding Officer – Sukhipali, Tahsil – Pithora, Distt – Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
4. Sub Divisional Officer, Mahasamund, Distt. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
5. Collector, Mahasamund, Distt. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

(38)

6. Additional Commissioner, Raipur Region, Raipur (C.G.)
7. Phool Singh, aged about 45 yrs, S/o Balki Bariha, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat – Sukhipali, Vikas Khand – Pithora, Distt. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

---

Present: Shri Pawan Kesharwani, counsel for the petitioners.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 14th March, 2007)

As on today, in my opinion, the relief sought for in this writ petition would not survive for consideration of this Court. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners is also of the same opinion.

(2) In that view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice